UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/655,920                | 09/05/2003                      | Hassan Mostafavi     | VM 03-006-US        | 8620             |
| 55499<br>Vista IP Law G   | 7590 08/20/200<br>roup (Varian) | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 1885 Lundy Ave, Suite 108 |                                 |                      | LAURITZEN, AMANDA L |                  |
| San Jose, CA 95131        |                                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           |                                 |                      | 3737                |                  |
|                           |                                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                           |                                 |                      | 08/20/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.     | Applicant(s)      |  |  |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/655,920          | MOSTAFAVI, HASSAN |  |  |
| Examiner            | Art Unit          |  |  |
| Amanda L. Lauritzen | 3737              |  |  |

|                                      |   | / inanda E. Edditzen  | 0707  |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
|                                      | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the d  | correspondence address  |   |
| THE                                  | REPLY FILED <u>08 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.  |   |
|                                      | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request                | ) |
| a)                                   | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   | g date of the final rejection.  |   |   |
| b)                                   | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | g date of the final rejection.  |   |
| have t<br>under<br>set for<br>may re | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)   | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origiten than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as | s |
|                                      | CE OF APPEAL  The Netice of Appeal was filed on A brief in some   | liance with 27 CED 44 27 must be  | filed within two months of the date of  |   |
|                                      | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extending the Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed work work in the second se | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since  |   |
| 3. 🛚                                 | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   |   |   |
|                                      | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  | tter form for appeal by materially red  |   |   |
|                                      | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | ected ciaims.   |   |
| 4. □                                 |   |   | mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)  |   |
| 7.<br>5. 🗖                           | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   |   | mphant Amenament (1 10L-024).   |   |
| 6.                                   | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).  | lowable if submitted in a separate,   |   |   |
| 7. 🛚                                 | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-29 and 31-66.   |   | I be entered and an explanation of  |   |
| ΔΕΕΙΙ                                | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |   |
| 8. 🔲                                 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |   |   |
| 9. 🗌                                 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fails to provide a  |   |
|                                      | ] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attached.  |   |
| 11. 🛭                                | The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br>Remarks with respect to amendments not entered have   | not been considered.  | condition for allowance because:  |   |
|                                      | Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  Other:  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |   |
|                                      | IAN CASLER/<br>ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737  |   |   |   |
|                                      |   |   |   |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least claims 31, 34, 40, 49, 55 and 58 require further search and/or examination consideration(s).